chloride  $(1.75 \text{ Å})^{12}$  and markedly longer than in diboron tetrafluoride  $(1.67 \text{ Å})^{.13}$  The B–P and P–F bond distances are very similar to those observed in trifluorophosphine-borane  $(F_3P \cdot BH_3)^{.14}$  while the P–F distances are equal and the B–P distance is only slightly shorter in the borane adduct (0.012 Å). However, the trifluorophosphine group in the borane adduct has  $C_{3v}$  symmetry but not in the refined structure of model A. A planar projection of the trifluorophosphine group shows two FPF angles of  $122 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$ , which reduces the symmetry to  $C_{2v}$ . The deviation from  $C_{3v}$  symmetry is such as to increase the nearest fluorine-hydrogen nonbonded distance.

(12) M. Atoji, P. Wheatley, and W. N. Lipscomb, J. Chem. Phys., 27, 196 (1957).

Model A does show one unusual structural feature. The HBH angle of  $146 \pm 12^{\circ}$  is much larger than that reported for diborane(6) and tetraborane(10),  $122^{\circ,9}$ in trifluorophosphine-borane and carbonyl-borane, these are 115 and 113°,<sup>15</sup> respectively. The small BBH angle of 92.3° is associated with a short nonbonded boron-hydrogen distance of 2.23 Å. In contrast, the hydrogen positions in model B are not significantly different from those reported for diborane(6).

Acknowledgments.—We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Advanced Research Projects Agency (Material Science Center, Cornell University) and the Army Research Office—Durham.

(15) Reference 10, 546.

Contribution from the Institute for Atomic Research and Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50010

# The Crystal Structure of Tetraethylammonium Hexabromoantimonate(V), $(C_2H_5)_4NSbBr_6^1$

BY MARVIN L. HACKERT, ROBERT A. JACOBSON\*2 AND TIMOTHY A. KEIDERLINGS

Received August 10, 1970

Tetraethylammonium hexabromoantimonate(V) has been prepared and its crystal structure determined by three-dimensional X-ray analysis. The dark red-brown compound crystallizes in the tetragonal space group  $I4_1md$  with four molecules in a unit cell of dimensions a = b = 8.7008 (7) and c = 24.797 (3) Å. Data were collected with an automated diffractometer using the  $\theta$ -2 $\theta$  step scan technique and Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$  0.7107 Å). The structure was solved by Patterson and Fourier methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques to a discrepancy index R = 0.042 for the 276 independent reflections used in the analysis. The structure consists of slightly distorted octahedral SbvBr<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> anions and (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>)<sub>4</sub>N<sup>+</sup> cations which are twofold disordered about intersecting mirror planes. The average Sb-Br distances corrected for thermal motion assuming a riding model are 2.561 (5), 2.564 (5), and 2.570 (4) Å. The only close Br-Br van der Waals contact is along the *a* axis at 3.584 Å. The similar sizes of the tetraethylammonium and the more spherical hexabromoantimonate(V) ions permit efficient packing which contributes to the stability of this compound.

#### Introduction

The crystal structure investigation of tetraethylammonium hexabromoantimonate(V) was undertaken as part of a series of investigations of halo-coordinated antimony compounds being performed in this laboratory.<sup>4-11</sup> A series of intervalence antimony bromide complexes of varying stoichiometries has been investigated to relate, if possible, their crystal structures and charge transfer properties and to evaluate the effects of cation size, type, and stereochemistry on the antimony bromide anion and on the resulting solid-state structure. The structure of the tetraethylammonium salt was under-

- (5) C. R. Hubbard and R. A. Jacobson, Proc. Iowa Acad. Sci., 75, 85 (1968).
  - (6) S. L. Lawton and R. A. Jacobson, Inorg. Chem., 7, 2124 (1968).
- (7) S. K. Porter and R. A. Jacobson, J. Chem. Soc. A, 1356 (1970).
- (8) M. L. Hackert, S. L. Lawton, and R. A. Jacobson, Proc. Iowa Acad. Sci., 75, 97 (1968).
- (9) S. L. Lawton, R. A. Jacobson, and R. S. Frye, *Inorg. Chem.*, 9, 701 (1970).

(10) S. K. Porter and R. A. Jacobson, J. Chem. Soc. A, 1359 (1970).
(11) J. R. Clarke, R. A. Jacobson, and R. G. Baughman, Inorg. Chim. Acta, in press.

taken as a result of preliminary investigations<sup>8</sup> on the physical and chemical properties of this material and other intensely colored  $R_xSb_yBr_s$  complexes. The tetraethylammonium complex was shown to be unusually stable in comparison to the other antimony bromide complexes studied, being stable in air and more slowly hydrolyzed in water. Although the tetraethylammonium complex is also deeply colored, <sup>12</sup> appearing a dark red-brown, the preliminary X-ray results indicated the stoichiometry was  $RSb^vBr_6$  with only four formula units per unit cell. Intervalence charge transfer could not occur if indeed all the  $SbBr_8$  species present were equivalent. Also, the saturated cation would not be expected to participate in any other possible type of charge-transfer path.

#### **Experimental Section**

Tetraethylammonium hexabromoantimonate(V), (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>4</sub>NSb-Br<sub>6</sub>, mol wt 479.5, crystallizes in the tetragonal space group  $I4_1md$ , with F(000) = 1344 e, Z = 4, a = b = 8.7008 (7) Å, c = 24.797 (3) Å, temperature  $\sim 24^{\circ}$ , V = 1877.3 Å<sup>3</sup>,  $d_m = 2.62$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>,  $d_o = 2.59$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>, Mo K $\alpha$  ( $\lambda$  0.7107 Å), and  $\mu = 150.7$  cm<sup>-1</sup>.

The  $(C_2H_5)_4NSbBr_6$  salt was prepared by the method of Law-

<sup>(13)</sup> L. Trefonas and W. N. Lipscomb, *ibid.*, **28**, 54 (1958).
(14) R. L. Kuczkowski and D. R. Lide, Jr., *ibid.*, **46**, 357 (1967).

<sup>(1)</sup> Work was performed at the Ames Laboratory of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission. Contribution No. 2784.

<sup>(2)</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed.

<sup>(3)</sup> Ames Laboratory undergraduate summer student trainee, 1968.

<sup>(4)</sup> S. L. Lawton and R. A. Jacobson, Inorg. Chem., 5, 743 (1966).

<sup>(12)</sup> W. Petzold, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 215, 92 (1933).

TABLE I

FINAL ATOMIC POSITIONAL<sup>4</sup> AND THERMAL PARAMETERS<sup>b</sup> AND STANDARD ERRORS<sup>c</sup> FOR SbBr<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>

								14		
Atom	Position	x	y	z	<b>\$</b> 11	<b>\$</b> 22	$\beta_{33}$	$\beta_{12}$	<b>\$</b> 13	<b>\$23</b>
Sb	4a	0 <b>g</b>	0ª	0 a	87(5)	117(6)	13(1)	0 d	04	0 a
Br(1)	8b	0 <sup>d</sup>	0.2034(7)	0.0732(2)	244(12)	217(11)	22(1)	0 <i>ª</i>	0 a	-29(3)
Br(2)	8b	0 a	-0.2057(6)	-0.0734(2)	135(8)	155(8)	20(1)	0ª	0 d	-16(2)
Br(3)	8b	0.2940(4)	0 <i>d</i>	-0.0027(3)	97 (6)	175(7)	24(1)	0 <i>d</i>	-13 (3)	0 <b>d</b>

<sup>a</sup> Positional parameters are in fractional unit cell coordinates. <sup>b</sup>  $\beta$ 's  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup>; the form of the anisotropic temperature factor is exp  $[-(\beta_{11}h^2 + \beta_{22}k^2 + \beta_{33}l^2 + 2\beta_{12}hk + 2\beta_{13}hl + 2\beta_{23}kl)]$ . <sup>c</sup> Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses for the least significant figures. <sup>d</sup> Atomic parameter fixed by symmetry.

ton.6 Microscopic examination revealed the fourfold symmetry of the crystals, square pyramidal with sharply defined faces. Although the tetraethylammonium salt was observed to be air stable, crystals were selected and mounted in thin-walled Lindemann glass capillaries to limit exposure in the atmosphere. Preliminary Weissenberg and precession photographs exhibited 4/mmm Laue symmetry, indicating a tetragonal space group. The following systematic absences were observed: hkl when h + k + l = 2n + 1 and *hhl* when 2h + l = 4n + 1. The absences are consistent with either space group  $I4_1md$  or  $I\overline{4}2d$ . The unit cell parameters and their standard deviations were obtained by a least-squares fit to 12 independent reflection angles whose centers were determined by a left-right, top-bottom beamsplitting technique using a previously aligned Hilger-Watts fourcircle diffractometer (Mo K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda$  0.71069 Å). The observed density was determined by flotation techniques using ethylene bromide and 1,1,2,2-tetrabromoethane solutions.

A crystal having approximate dimensions  $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.09$ mm was mounted on a glass fiber such that the c axis (0.09 mm) was nearly coincident with the spindle axis. Data were collected at room temperature utilizing a Hilger-Watts four-circle diffractometer equipped with scintillation counter and using Zrfiltered Mo K $\alpha$  radiation. Within a  $2\theta$  sphere of  $60^{\circ}$  all data in one full octant were recorded using a  $\theta$ -2 $\theta$  step scan technique with a takeoff angle of 4.5°. Symmetric scan ranges of 1.00° in  $2\theta$  at low  $2\theta$  values to  $2.20^{\circ}$  at the high  $2\theta$  limit were used. Stationary-crystal, stationary-counter background measurements were made at the beginning and end of the step scan, each measurement being made for half the total scan time. The counting rate used was  $0.2048 \text{ sec/step of } 0.02^\circ \text{ in } 2\theta$ . The rest of the experimental arrangement used has already been discussed in some detail.<sup>13</sup> A total of 1535 reflections were measured in this way. Based on the measurements of three standard reflections, no decomposition correction was made; total decomposition was less than 3%.

The intensity data were also corrected for Lorentz-polarization effects and for effects due to absorption ( $\mu = 150.7$  cm<sup>-1</sup>). The absorption correction<sup>14</sup> was made using ABCOR;<sup>15</sup> the maximum and minimum transmission factors were 0.414 and 0.322, respectively. The error assigned each intensity measurement was calculated from the estimated statistical and nonstatistical errors by

$$[\sigma(I)]^{2} = [C_{\rm T} + C_{\rm B} + (0.03C_{\rm T})^{2} + (0.05C_{\rm B})^{2} + (0.04C_{\rm R})^{2}]/A^{2}$$

where  $C_{\rm T}$ ,  $C_{\rm B}$ ,  $C_{\rm R}$ , and A are the total count, background count, net count, and transmission factor, respectively. The equivalent values of  $F_{\rm o}^2$  were then averaged. The estimated standard deviation in each structure factor was calculated from the mean deviation of intensity by the method of finite differences.<sup>16</sup> The reciprocals of the structure factor variances were used as weights in the least-squares refinement. Based on the measurements of symmetry extinct data, it was decided that only those reflections with  $I \geq 2\sigma(I)$  would be considered observed. The results reported are based on the remaining 276 independent reflections.

#### Solution and Refinement of the Structure

With only four formula units per unit cell, the anti-

(15) In addition to local programs written at this laboratory, the authors wish to acknowledge the use of ABCOR (Busing and Levy), ORTEP (C. K. Johnson), ORFFE and a local modification of ORFLS (Busing, Martin, and

Levy), and LCR2 (D. E. Williams). (16) D. E. Williams and R. E. Rundle, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 86, 1660 (1964).

TABLE II Final Atomic Parameters and Standard Errors for (C3H3)4N<sup>+</sup>

GIANDARD ERRORS FOR (CMI)AIN						
Atom	Posi- tion	<u>-</u> x		У	z	<i>B</i> , Å <sup>2</sup>
N	· 4a	$0^b$		0 <sup>p</sup>	0,4333 (16)	4.0 (9)
C(1)	8b	0.2158	3(52)	0 <sup>b</sup>	0.3666 (17)	5.9 (10)
C(2)	8b	0.2170	) (47)	$0^b$	0.5064(23)	5.5(10)
$C(3)^{a}$	16c	0.100	5 (64)	0.1185 (62)	0.3976(18)	4.1 (11)
C(4)	16c	0.0999	(63)	-0.0998(70)	0.4684 (21)	5.0(11)
۵ Atc	ms	C(3) and	C(4)	are disordered	and refined	with half-

occupancy. <sup>b</sup> Atomic parameter fixed by symmetry.

TABLE III OBSERVED AND CALCULATED STRUCTURE FACTORS (×10) 0 3127 0 1724 0 2033 0 1043 0 510 0 605 1 444 1 5020 2 1116 2 554 4 1361 4 779 2 0 1234 1223 4 0 509 505 3 1 555 586 2 1751 1853 4 2 1090 1074 6 2 925 864 4 4 955 640 6 4 520 537 6 6 507 450 8 8 584 199 7 5 748 711 6 6 671 581 02465037246546 3 1 569 576 3 1634 1588 3 756 757 
 K
 FO
 FC

 0
 2729
 2709

 0
 4762
 4826

 0
 2388
 2475

 1
 1291
 1281

 1
 1284
 1291

 1
 1284
 1305

 2
 4015
 3905

 3
 568
 555

 4
 1366
 1395

 4
 564
 656

 5
 1157
 1217

 6
 809
 711
 L = 13K FC C 2443 C 1535 1 047 1 10047 1 10050 2 9742 3 705 4 1059 5 597 H13572463546576 FC 2575 2255 1571 1074 880 644 988 1005 663 1013 592 612 = 19 698 1115 845 1129 614 801 526 K 0 0 1 N N B 4 703 1197 815 1141 581 833 448 L = 9 K F0 0 1372 0 1372 0 1372 1 0 1372 1 0 1378 1 711 1 534 2 1933 2 472 2 978 3 1170 3 984 3 547 4 562 6 618 25946 1076 1135724635746857 FC9 1759 781 1084 1331 758 564 1877 486 10605 1115 960 454 474 607 L # 779 768 L # 5 K FD FC 0 1834 1905 0 2480 2437 0 819 753 0 649 990 1 1391 1410 1 643 648 1 390 392 2 285 2227 2 736 682 2 1090 1120 3 1569 1582 3 935 1582 3 935 4 610 725 5 K 0 1 2 2 H1357246357467  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ k & FO \end{bmatrix} FC \\ 0 & 2949 & 3216 \\ 0 & 3468 & 3370 \\ 0 & 2249 & 2310 \\ 0 & 1451 & 570 \\ 1 & 1550 & 1485 \\ 1 & 1770 & 1485 \\ 1 & 1770 & 1485 \\ 1 & 1770 & 1485 \\ 2 & 1440 & 1492 \\ 2 & 1440 & 1492 \\ 3 & 664 & 719 \\ 4 & 1207 & 1253 \\ 3 & 664 & 719 \\ 5 & 773 & 778 \\ \end{bmatrix}$ L = 14 H K F0 2 0 -926 1 1 1364 3 1 797 5 1 1308 4 2 471 5 3 700 5 5 854 7 5 524 FC 929 1400 809 1330 408 679 638 391 21 F0 452 783 702 829 453 605 L = K 0 0 1 2 2 3 FC 483 811 670 863 343 592 6 618 607 ( = 10 K FC FC 0 1861 1894 0 597 581 1 918 991 1 1451 1402 1 1031 987 2 1146 1148 3 1755 1787 3 551 5787 3 1048 1076 5 519 468 7 651 625 H26137435777 22 FC 15 F0 1595 1460 1002 694 472 886 615 944 763 569 FC 1675 1422 1019 799 4457 643 950 750 439 K 0 1 2 3 3 1200 722 753 977 581 23 F0 462 526 601 L.KOON FC 440 513 626 2 555 1407 1107 792 1147 968 744 837 590 FC 2549 1434 1077 911 1149 981 694 910 564 H135735751 K111133355 L = 11 K FCO 0 1781 0 1496 0 1117 0 789 1 1043 1 598 2 528 2 528 3 769 3 607 4 625 16 FO 3033 989 2113 914 576 468 529 827 638 762 1271 592 H135746357465 ж 000011122344 24 889 471 588 543 541 603 FC 1781 15055 769 6166 459 459 755 560 578 "K000046 1024635724546 886 522 543 538 258 296 2 993 982 2160 973 627 425 546 631 753 1283 561 L 3 FC FC C 0 2393 2246 0 2480 2447 0 1394 1517 0 1394 1517 0 509 539 1 396 1316 1 356 555 2 411 435 2 411 435 3 785 807 3 785 807 3 785 807 4 844 4 844 4 859 4 845 4 859 557 478 557 478 557 478 557 478 557 478 557 478 557 478 557 478 557 558 557 25 FD 755 621 K K C C FC 696 547 H135794 L = 12 K F0 0 47252 0 2605 0 1681 0 748 1 1600 1 732 1 704 2 1352 4 1328 4 916 4 634 26 FO 610 543 H 0 2 4 6 0 3 5 7 2 5 4 FC 4890 1455 2693 1707 743 1607 738 1607 738 1359 1498 1312 862 862 L = K 0 0 0 1 2 3 17 951 969 484 528 431 FC 562 442 H1357434 FC 925 9552 4675 4666 708 28 F0 1213 478 689 ¥0004 L = 18 K FO FC C 1189 1231 1 1062 1088 L = 8 H K FO FC D 0 634 6?6 н 2 3 6 10 L = 30 R K FO FC 3 3 561 308 L = 4 Н К F0 FC

mony and nitrogen atoms must have either mm  $(I_{4}md)$ or  $\overline{4}$   $(I\overline{4}md)$  site symmetry. The orientation of the hexabromoantimonate(V) group was obtained from a three-dimensional Patterson map and indicated that the space group was  $I4_1md$ . These heavy-atom positions were then refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques with isotropic thermal parameters to a conventional discrepancy factor of  $R = \Sigma(|F_o| - |F_c|)/$  $\Sigma|F_o| = 0.081$  and a weighted R factor of  $R_w = (\Sigma w \cdot (|F_o| - |F_c|)^2/\Sigma w |F_o|^2)^{1/2} = 0.104$ . The remaining nonhydrogen atoms were found by an electron density map calculation.

<sup>(13)</sup> W. Ozbirn and R. A. Jacobson, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 4, 377 (1970).

<sup>(14)</sup> W. R. Busing and H. A. Levy, Acta Crystallogr., 10, 180 (1967).



Figure 1.—Stereogram of unit cell showing packing of  $(C_2H_5)_4$ NSbBr<sub>6</sub> units; hydrogens not shown.



Figure 2.—Ions present in  $(C_2H_5)_4NSbBr_6$ : (a)  $SbBr_6^-$ ; (b)  $(C_2H_5)_4N^+$ ; (c) disordered  $(C_2H_5)_4N^+$ .

A tetraethylammonium group has two preferred orientations, the swastika configuration or a trans arrangement. Although found in a trans arrangement, the inner carbon atoms do not lie on the mirror planes and are twofold disordered. These inner carbons were refined with half-occupancy in a general 16-fold position. The outer carbons appeared to lie on the mirror planes and were treated as ordered and restrained to these mirror planes. Subsequent refinement using anisotropic thermal parameters for only the heavy atoms lowered the *R* factor to 0.042 and  $R_w = 0.044$ .

The relativistic Hartree–Fock X-ray scattering factors for neutral atoms of Doyle and Turner<sup>17</sup> were used with those of antimony and bromine modified for the real and imaginary parts of anomalous dispersion.<sup>18</sup> Based on the agreement of the large structure factors, no extinction correction was necessary. A final electron density difference map showed no peak heights

(17) P. A. Doyle and P. S. Turner, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 24, 390 (1968).

 <sup>(18)</sup> D. H. Templeton, "International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography," Vol. III, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1962, pp 215, 216, Table 3.3.2C.

greater than  $0.3 \text{ e}^{-}/\text{Å}^3$ . The final standard deviation for an observation of unit weight  $([\Sigma w \Delta^2/(NO - NV)]^{1/2}$  where  $\Delta = |F_o| - |F_o|$ , NO is the number of observations (276), and NV is the number of variables (38)) was 0.95 electron. During the final cycle, the largest shift in any parameter was less than 0.01 times its own  $\sigma$ . The final positional and thermal parameters are given in Tables I and II, along with their standard deviations as derived from the inverse matrix of the final least-squares cycle. In Table III are listed the magnitudes of the observed and calculated structure factors in electrons  $\times 10$ .

#### Discussion

The crystal structure of tetraethylammonium hexabromoantimonate(V) is shown in Figure 1. Bond distances and angles of interest are given in Table IV and

TABLE IV					
SELECTED INTERATOMIC BOND DISTANCES					
AND ANGLES FOR $(C_2H_5)_4$ NSbBr <sub>6</sub> <sup>a</sup>					

		(-20/1-100	
Atoms	Distance, Å	Atoms	Angle, deg
Sb-Br(1)	2.536(5)	Br(1)-Sb- $Br(1)'$	88.5(3)
Sb-Br(2)	2.553(5)	Br(1)-Sb- $Br(2)'$	91.2(1)
Sb-Br(3)	2.559(4)	Br(1)-Sb- $Br(3)$	91.1(1)
Br(1) $Br(1)'$	3.540(12)	Br(2)-Sb- $Br(2)'$	89.0(3)
Br(1)-Br(2)'	3.636(5)	Br(2)-Sb- $Br(3)$	-88.9(1)
Br(1) $Br(3)$	3.636(5)	Br(1)-Sb- $Br(2)$	179.8(5)
Br(2)-Br(2)'	3.579(10)	Br(3)-Sb- $Br(3)'$	177.0(3)
Br(2)-Br(3)	3.581(5)	C(3)-N-C(4)	112(3)
$Br(3) - Br(3)'_{i}$	3.584(5)	C(3)-N-C(4)'	105(3)
$Br(1)$ $Br(2)'_{ii}$	4.053(6)	C(3)-N-C(3)'	113(4)
N-C(3)	1.62(5)	C(3)'-N-C(4)	105(3)
N-C(4)	1.51(5)	C(4)-N-C(4)'	109(5)
C(3)-C(1)	1.63(6)	N-C(3)-C(1)	101(3)
C(4)-C(2)	1.64(7)	N-C(4)-C(2)	113(4)
Sb-Br(1)	$2.561 (5)^{b}$		
Sb-Br(2)	$2.564 (5)^{b}$		
Sb-Br(3)	$2.570 \ (4)^{b}$		

<sup>a</sup> Primed atoms refer to the symmetry-related atom in the group (Figure 2). Other symmetry operations referred to: (i) 1 + x, y, z; (ii) x,  $\frac{1}{2} + y$ ,  $\frac{1}{4} + z$ . <sup>b</sup> Interatomic distance corrected for thermal motion using a riding model where the second atom is assumed to ride on the first.

Figure 2. The Sb<sup>v</sup>Br<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> ion has crystallographic  $C_{2\nu}$  symmetry but is somewhat distorted from  $O_h$  symmetry.

The most significant deviation involves the Br(3)-Sb-Br(3)' angle which is 177.0 (3)°. This slight distortion can be ascribed to packing effects since the closest approach between anions is 3.584 (5) Å along the *a* direction  $(Br(3)--Br(3)'_i)$  which is significantly shorter than the 3.9-Å sum of the van der Waals radii.<sup>19</sup> The average Sb-Br bond length is 2.549 (5) Å before correction for thermal motion and 2.565 (5) Å when corrected assuming a riding model. These averages are in good agreement with those previously reported.<sup>9</sup> The tetraethylammonium ion has the trans configuration in which the ethyl groups lie on intersecting mirror planes ( $C_{2n}$  symmetry) as required by this space group. However, the inner carbon atoms do not lie on the mirror planes and are therefore disordered with apparent  $D_{2h}$  symmetry, as shown in Figure 2. Disorder within the swastika configuration of the tetraethylammonium ion has also been reported.<sup>20</sup> The long bond lengths indicate that the light-atom positions are not well defined and reflect both the disorder and the heavy-atom nature of this problem.

The crystal structure (Figure 1) can be viewed as an efficient packing arrangement of the rather spherical hexabromoantimonate(V) ions and of the nearly equal in size but slightly flattened tetraethylammonium ions. The similar sizes of these two large, rather diffuse ions contribute to the crystal stability. The usual type of intervalence charge transfer cannot occur in this structure. The structure consists of only one kind of SbBr<sub>6</sub> species, has a saturated cation, and has only the one bromine---bromine contact  $(Br(3)--Br(3)'_i, 3.58 \text{ Å})$ which is less than the sum of the van der Waals radii. This distance does not appear to be short enough for any type of interspecies charge-transfer interaction since the Br(3)---Br(2) and Br(3)---Br(1) intraion distances are 3.58 and 3.64 Å, respectively. We believe, therefore, that the deep color of this complex probably results from normal charge transfer of the intraspecies ligand to metal type, involving transitions between molecular orbitals of the Sb<sup>v</sup>Br<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> species.

(19) L. Pauling, "The Nature of the Chemical Bond," Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N. Y., 1960, p 260.

(20) G. D. Stucky, J. B. Folkers, and T. J. Kistenmacher, Acta Crystallogr., 23, 1064 (1967).

## Notes

CONTRIBUTION FROM ROCKETDYNE, A DIVISION OF NORTH AMERICAN ROCKWELL, CANOGA PARK, CALIFORNIA 91304

### **Bromine Perchlorate**

BY C. J. SCHACK, \* K. O. CHRISTE, D. PILIPOVICH, AND R. D. WILSON

#### Received May 28, 1970

Recently we reported the synthesis of a novel chlorine oxide, chlorine perchlorate.<sup>1</sup> This preparation was accomplished by the reaction

$$MClO_4 + ClSO_8F \longrightarrow MSO_8F + ClOClO_8 \qquad (M = NO_2, Cs) \quad (1)$$

It has now been found that the related bromine compound bromine perchlorate can be prepared by this method using bromine(I) fluorosulfate.

\_ 200

$$MClO_4 + BrSO_3F \xrightarrow{-20} MSO_3F + BrOClO_3$$
 (2)

In addition, a second method involving the oxidation of elemental bromine with chlorine perchlorate was discovered

$$Br_{2} + 2ClOClO_{3} \xrightarrow{-45^{\circ}} Cl_{2} + 2BrOClO_{3}$$
(3)